Will Economic Restructuring in China Reduce Trade-Embodied CO2 Emissions?

Tianyu Qi, Niven Winchester, Valerie J. Karplus and Xiliang Zhang

Report No. 232 October 2012

The MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change is an organization for research, independent policy analysis, and public education in global environmental change. It seeks to provide leadership in understanding scientific, economic, and ecological aspects of this difficult issue, and combining them into policy assessments that serve the needs of ongoing national and international discussions. To this end, the Program brings together an interdisciplinary group from two established research centers at MIT: the Center for Global Change Science (CGCS) and the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR). These two centers bridge many key areas of the needed intellectual work, and additional essential areas are covered by other MIT departments, by collaboration with the Ecosystems Center of the Marine Biology Laboratory (MBL) at Woods Hole, and by short- and long-term visitors to the Program. The Program involves sponsorship and active participation by industry, government, and non-profit organizations.

To inform processes of policy development and implementation, climate change research needs to focus on improving the prediction of those variables that are most relevant to economic, social, and environmental effects. In turn, the greenhouse gas and atmospheric aerosol assumptions underlying climate analysis need to be related to the economic, technological, and political forces that drive emissions, and to the results of international agreements and mitigation. Further, assessments of possible societal and ecosystem impacts, and analysis of mitigation strategies, need to be based on realistic evaluation of the uncertainties of climate science.

This report is one of a series intended to communicate research results and improve public understanding of climate issues, thereby contributing to informed debate about the climate issue, the uncertainties, and the economic and social implications of policy alternatives. Titles in the Report Series to date are listed on the inside back cover.

Ronald G. Prinn and John M. Reilly *Program Co-Directors*

 Printed on recycled paper

Will Economic Restructuring in China Reduce Trade-Embodied CO² Emissions?

Tianyu Qi ^{§*}, Niven Winchester[§], Valerie J. Karplus[§] and Xiliang Zhang[†]

Abstract

We calculate CO² emissions embodied in China's net exports using a multi-regional input-output database. We find that the majority of China's export-embodied CO² is associated with production of machinery and equipment rather than energy-intensive products, such as steel and aluminum. In 2007, the largest net recipients of embodied CO² emissions from China include the EU (360 million metric tons, mmt), the U.S. (337 mmt), and Japan (109 mmt). Overall, annual CO² emissions embodied in China's net exports totaled 1,177 mmt, equal to 22% of China's total CO² emissions. We also develop a global general equilibrium model with a detailed treatment of energy and CO² emissions. We use the model to analyze the impact of a sectoral shift in the Chinese economy away from industry and towards services, both without and with a decrease in China's trade surplus, and a tax on energy-intensive exports, which reflect policy objectives in China's Twelfth Five-Year Plan (2011–2015). We find that without a decrease in the trade surplus, both policies will have a limited impact on China's net exports of embodied CO² emissions. The policies have an even smaller effect on global emissions, as reduced production in China is partially offset by increased production elsewhere.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION

 \overline{a}

China's rapid growth over the last thirty years has brought great benefits but has come at the cost of large increases in energy use and environmental damage. With the rapid growth of its economy and international trade linkages, China has become the world's largest exporter, the second largest importer, and the second largest national economy in the world in value terms (The World Bank, 2012). In 2010, China accounted for 20% of global energy demand and surpassed the U.S. to become the world's largest consumer of energy and source of carbon

^{*}Corresponding author (Email[: qitianyu@mit.edu\)](mailto:qitianyu@mit.edu). Institute of Energy, Environment and Economy, Tsinghua University. Beijing, 100084, China.

[§] Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Room E19-411, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA.

[†] Institute of Energy, Environment and Economy, Tsinghua University. Beijing, 100084, China.

dioxide (CO_2) emissions (International Energy Agency, 2011). A significant amount of China's CO² emissions are embodied in goods produced for export.

In recent decades, China has largely benefited from a global trend to relocate labor-intensive manufacturing from developed to developing countries. Given that developing countries generally have less advanced production technologies and fewer environmental restrictions, the shift of manufacturing is often considered tantamount to a transfer of environmental impacts (Copeland & Taylor, 1994, 1995; Muradian *et al*., 2002) . 1

Large total and exported quantities of embodied $CO₂$ emissions in China translate into environmental damages and also make China a target of carbon tariff policies implemented overseas. Developed countries with strict climate policies have discussed imposing tariffs based on the carbon embodied in trade to avoid carbon leakage and to shore up the competitiveness of domestic producers. As carbon tariffs imposed in Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries penalize carbon-intensive exporters, non-OECD countries, including China, could potentially suffer substantial welfare losses. One analysis has suggested that China in particular would suffer a GDP loss of 4% as a result of imposing such tariffs (Böhringer *et al*., 2011). China has become aware of the vulnerabilities associated with the high energy and emissions intensity of its exports and has implemented policies to reduce exportembodied emissions.

Several researchers have quantified carbon emissions embodied in China's trade. Shui and Harriss estimated that about 7% to 14% of China's $CO₂$ emissions were a result of producing exports for U.S. consumers. They also found that global emissions increased by 720 million metric tons (mmt) due to the transfer of production from 1997 to 2003, with emissions increases largely driven by the use of less efficient manufacturing technologies and coal-intensive electricity and heavy industry production in developing countries. Peters and Hertwich found that trends in net trade flows had a small effect on total emissions, as emissions reduced by relying on imports have been offset by growth in emissions from the production of exports. Yan and Yang estimated that between 1997 and 2007, 10.03% to 26.54% of China's annual $CO₂$ emissions were associated with the production of goods for export. Xu *et al.* examined $CO₂$ emissions embodied in China's exports from 2002 to 2008 and found that the change in composition of exports was the largest driver of export-embodied emissions. Guo *et al*. analyzed China's embodied CO_2 emissions in international and inter-provincial trade. They find that the eastern area accounts for a large proportion of China's trade-embodied $CO₂$ emissions, consistent with the location of a large fraction of China's export-oriented manufacturing activities there.

 \overline{a}

 1 Quantitative evaluations of the environmental cost embodied in trade have been conducted by numerous studies at the global level (Z. M. Chen & Chen, 2011; Davis & Caldeira, 2010; Peters & Hertwich, 2008; Skelton *et al*., 2011) ; and at the regional level, including for the U.S. (Weber & Matthews, 2007. (the U.S.); Munoz & Steininger, 2010. (Austria); Edens *et al*., 2011. (Dutch); Goldar *et al*., 2011. (India); Liu & Ma, 2011. (China); Gavrilova & Vilu, 2012. (Estonia))

Much of the research discussed above employs environmental input-output analysis within a single-region framework, which does not distinguish technology differences between imported and domestic production within the same sector (Shui & Harriss, 2006; Peters *et al*., 2007; Yan Yunfeng & Yang Laike, 2010; Xu *et al*., 2011). Multi-Regional Input-Output (MRIO) analysis addresses this shortcoming by using a global economic data set in which countries are distinguished, bilateral trade flows are recognized, and imported and domestically produced intermediate inputs are tracked separately (Wiedmann, 2009). In recent decades, MRIO analyses have been developed and adopted to estimate the embodied environmental impacts of international trade (see (Wiedmann *et al*., 2007, 2009) for a review of this literature). Building on existing research, we develop a MRIO analysis to compare the $CO₂$ intensity of production across countries and calculate $CO₂$ emissions embodied in international trade. For this work, we use the Global Trade Analysis Project 2007 data set (GTAP 8), which was released in the spring of 2012. We also employ a multi-region, multi-sector computable general equilibrium (CGE) model to assess the impact of two representative $CO₂$ control mechanisms modeled after policies aimed at reducing $CO₂$ emissions through changes in the sectoral structure ("economic rebalancing") of the Chinese economy or its exports, variants of which are included in China's Twelfth Five-Year Plan (FYP) (2011–2015). Specifically, we focus on trade-embodied $CO₂$ emissions as well as on global $CO₂$ emissions. The two policies we simulate are focused on 1) increasing the service sector share of China's economic output, with and without a decrease in China's trade surplus, and 2) increasing export taxes on energy-intensive sectors in China.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly introduces the two representative policies intended to reduce the emissions intensity of China's industrial production and exports. Section 3 includes a detailed discussion of the methodologies and data adopted in our analysis and policy scenarios investigated. Section 4 presents the results of China's embodied carbon emissions in 2007 and an assessment of the two policies. Section 5 summarizes the results and offers some conclusions.

2. POLICY BACKGROUND

China implemented a number of administrative and financial policies to conserve energy and reduce emissions in its Eleventh FYP (2006–2010). Policymakers have set short and medium term targets for energy use, $CO₂$ emissions and other pollutants. Energy, $CO₂$ and pollution targets are contained in China's Twelfth Five-Year Plan, and China's Copenhagen commitment to reduce $CO₂$ emissions intensity by 40–45% has been incorporated into its Medium Term Energy Plan (2005–2020) (Industrial Energy Efficiency Database, 2012; Natural Resource Defense Council, 2012). Decision makers claim that policy approaches are intended to incentivize both technical progress and what is commonly termed "structural change" or

"economic rebalancing" in directions that favor energy efficiency and energy savings.² Xie estimates that over 70% of China's energy savings reflected the technical approaches—including investment in energy efficiency measures and the closure of the most inefficient enterprises—in the Eleventh FYP. The government has called for series of subsidies and government investment initiatives to boost the services industry, targeting the services contribution to reach a 47% value share of GDP in 2015 (Xinhua, 2012b). The reduction in industrial production will have a large impact on China's trade pattern and scale, and also have an effect on $CO₂$ emissions embodied in traded goods and services.

In part to address the issue of trade-embodied carbon, China has implemented measures to control the export of "energy-intensive, pollution-intensive and resources-consuming" goods. Reductions in tax rebates and increases in export tariffs applied to energy-intensive products have been implemented gradually since 2004. In 2004, for the first time, China canceled the export tax rebate on coke to limit exports of this commodity. In 2005 and 2006, China reduced the tax rebate on exports of energy-intensive sectors such as coal, iron, and chemical goods, and in 2007 China cut tax rebates on around one third of its total traded goods, including many types of energy-intensive products. Due to the impact of the global economic crisis, China reinstated the tax rebate on some energy-intensive sectors in 2009, but canceled them again in 2010 (Reuters, 2012). Aside from the tax rebate, China has also used export taxes to limit the exports from energy-intensive industries, which are included as a complementary measure in the Comprehensive Energy-saving Reduction Program Work Notice in China's Twelfth FYP (The State Council of China, 2011). In 2008, China increased the export tariff from 5% to 10% on steel and from 10% to 15% on nonferrous metals.

3. METHODS AND DATA

 \overline{a}

3.1 MRIO Calculations of Embodied Carbon

MRIO analysis has been widely used to study the environmental impacts of international trade. By combining domestic input-output matrices with import matrices from multiple regions into one comprehensive matrix, MRIO calculations track the contribution of different points in a sector's supply chain and include all bilateral trade flows (Wiedmann, 2009).

Following Böhringer *et al*., we adopt a MRIO analysis to calculate the life-cycle carbon content embodied in production. Both direct $CO₂$ emissions from the combustion of fossil fuel and indirect $CO₂$ emissions associated with demand for intermediate non-fossil inputs are captured. We calculate the life-cycle carbon content associated with production of good *i* in region *r* as the carbon content per dollar of production, *Ayi,r*, multiplied by the value of

² The term "economic rebalancing" is used in China to refer to two policy adjustments. The first is increasing the contribution of domestic consumption at the expense of overseas investment. In this connection, the Chinese government has announced a focus on increasing domestic demand as its primary task in the Twelfth FYP (China Daily 2012). Second, the term is used to refer to shifting the industrial structure within China from predominantly heavy-industries to knowledge-intensive, high value-added industries such as services, which mostly have a lower energy footprint.

production, *yi,r*. This product is equal to the sum of direct emissions from the burning of fossil fuel inputs in the production process, *Edi,r*, and indirect emissions associated with intermediate non-fossil inputs from domestic sources, *Eidi,r*, and imported sources, *Eimi,r*, as described by Equation (1).

$$
Ay_{i,r} \times y_{i,r} = Ed_{i,r} + Eid_{i,r} + Lim_{i,r}
$$
 (1)

Direct emissions associated with energy consumption in sectoral production are included in the GTAP 8 database. To calculate indirect emissions, we exploit the input-output coefficients in the database. Indirect emissions from domestic intermediate inputs are calculated as:

$$
Eid_{i,r} = \sum_{j} A y_{j,r} \times y_{j,i,r}
$$
 (2)

where *j* indexes goods used as intermediate inputs in the production of good *i*.

Indirect emissions from imported intermediate inputs are the sum of emissions associated with the production of those intermediates and emissions from international transportation:

$$
Eim_{i,r} = \sum_{j,s} (Ay_{j,s} \times y_{j,i,s,r} + At_{j,r} \times y_{j,i,s,r})
$$
\n(3)

where *yj,i,s,r* is the quantity of imported input *j* used in the production of good *i* imported from region *s* in region *r*, and *Atj,r* is the per-dollar carbon content of transportation services required to deliver good *j* to region *r*, which is calculated using Equation (4) and (5):

$$
At_{j,r} = (\sum_{t,s} vtwr_{t,j,s,r} \times ATr_t) / \sum_{t,s} vtwr_{t,j,s,r}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{4}
$$

$$
ATr_{t} = \left(\sum_{r} vst_{t,r} \times Ay_{t,r}\right) / \sum_{r} vst_{t,r}
$$
\n⁽⁵⁾

In Equation (4), *vtwrt,j,s,r* is the value of good *j* transported from region *s* to region *r* by service *t* (*t* includes air transport, water transport, and land transport), and *ATr^t* is the average carbon content of transport service *t*. In Equation (5), *vstt,r* and *Ayt,r* are, respectively, the quantity of transportation service *t* and the per dollar carbon content of transport service *t* supplied by region *r*.

Substituting Equations (2)–(5) into (1) yields a system of $i \times r$ simultaneous equations, where the lifecycle per-dollar carbon content of each good (*Ayi,r*) is an endogenous variable and other variables are exogenous. The simultaneous equation model is solved iteratively, after assigning initially values for *Ayi,r*.

Our MRIO analysis is based on the latest release of GTAP 8 database. The database is a global economic and energy data set that includes value flows for 57 sectors and 129 regions in 2007 (Narayanan *et al*., 2012). The data set combines individual national energy and economic accounts together with data on bilateral trade flows and $CO₂$ emissions from the combustion of fossil fuels. For our purposes, we aggregate the database to 23 sectors and 27 regions, by aggregating sectors and regions which account for a small proportion of China's total trade. To focus on embodied emissions, our aggregation identifies three primary energy sectors (Coal, Crude oil, and Gas), Electricity, and six energy-intensive sectors (Paper and paper products; Chemical, rubber and plastic products; Non-metallic minerals; Iron and steel; Fabricated metal products; and Non-ferrous metals). Detailed sectoral and regional aggregations are listed in **Table 1.**

Note:*The abbreviation nec stands for not elsewhere classified.

3.2 Building a CGE Model for Policy Assessment

To assess the impact of current policies on the reduction of trade-embodied carbon emissions in China, we employ a multi-sector, multi-region static CGE model of the global economy. The

structure of the model is similar to the GTAP-EG model described by Rutherford and Paltsev. In the model, there are three types of production processes: extraction of primary fuels (crude oil, coal, and gas), production of electricity, and other production activities including refined oil, manufacturing and services. Each of the production technologies is captured by a nested constant elasticity of substitution (CES) function. Detailed nesting structures for the three production activities are portrayed in **Figure 1**, where σ is used to denote elasticities of substitution. An important feature of the nesting structure is the ability for firms to substitute among fossil fuels and between aggregate energy and value added. Scarcity of fossil fuels is captured by including a fuel-specific resource in the top level of each fossil fuel production nest. Firms are assumed to compete in perfectly competitive markets.

Figure 1. The nesting structure of production sectors for (a) primary fuels (coal, crude oil and gas), (b) electricity, and (c) other sectors.

Final demand by consumers in each region is determined by a series of nested CES functions. The nesting structure splits consumption into an energy composite and other goods and services. Investment is fixed and government consumption is exogenous. Consumers chose their demand profile to maximize their welfare subject to budget constraints and receive income from payments to capital, labor, and fuel resources (factor income) and tax revenue.

Bilateral trade is specified using the Armington assumption that domestic and imported goods are imperfect substitutes and are distinguished by region of origin (Armington, 1969). That is, each commodity entering final demand and purchased by firms is a CES composite of a domestic variety and an imported variety, where the imported variety is a further CES composite of varieties from different regions.

The CGE model is calibrated using the MRIO database (GTAP 8) used to analyze embodied carbon emissions. The model is formulated as a mixed complementarity problem using the mathematical programming system (MPSGE) language, which is a subsystem of the General Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS), and solved with the PATH solver to derive the vector of prices that clears the market and the associated demands across all sectors (Mathiesen, 1985; Rutherford, 1995; Rutherford, 1999).

3.3 Policy Scenarios

 \overline{a}

We evaluate the impact of two policy scenarios on China's net exports of $CO₂$ emissions. Under the Twelfth FYP, China's policymakers aim to encourage adjustment in the country's economic structure to reduce reliance on heavy industry and increase the contribution of services to GDP, while simultaneously encouraging domestic consumption. We include two scenarios that capture the critical features of these policies. Our first scenario, Rebalance, imposes sectoral GDP contributions for 2015 set out in China's Twelfth FYP. The second scenario, Demand, simulates an increase in domestic demand in addition to changes in sectoral GDP contributions. According to a report by the Development Research Center of the State Council (Xinhua, 2010), China's 2015 target for the contribution of agriculture to GDP is 8%, for industry is 45% and for services is 47%. In the GTAP 8 database, the contribution of agricultural, industry, and services to GDP is 12%, 48% and 40%, respectively. In the Rebalance and Demand scenarios, we simulate the 2015 targets using endogenous output taxes or subsidies, where the same endogenous instrument is applied to sectors within each broad sectoral group. In the Demand scenario, in addition to the sectoral targets, we decrease the value of China's trade surplus by 50%, from \$270 billion in the GTAP 8 database to \$135 billion. The shock is implemented by exogenously decreasing China's capital account deficit and increasing capital accounts for other regions by equal proportions so that global trade is balanced.³

As noted earlier, in recent years, China has acted to control the export of energy-intensive products by reducing the tax rebate on exports and increasing export tariffs on production

 3 An alternative method to decrease the trade surplus is to make the balance of trade endogenous and introduce a policy instrument, as in Li and Whalley (C. Li & Whalley, 2012).

activities in these sectors. Such policies are also included in the Twelfth FYP (The State Council of China, 2011). As reducing tax rebates on exports and imposing an export tax operate through essentially the same mechanisms, we increase export taxes in our third scenario, which we label Exp-Tax. The Exp-Tax scenario does not include either of the policy shocks implemented in previous scenarios.

In our model, energy-intensive sectors include paper and paper products; chemical, rubber and plastic products; nonmetallic mineral products; iron and steel; non-ferrous metals, and fabricated metal products. Current export tariffs on these products range from 4% to 6%. As export tariffs on these sectors are not set out explicitly in China's Twelfth FYP or elsewhere, we make the simple assumption in our scenario that current tax rates are doubled.⁴

4. RESULTS

 \overline{a}

In this section, we describe China's trade-embodied carbon emissions in 2007 based on the results of our MRIO analysis. We then use the CGE model to simulate the three policy shocks as described above and evaluate the impact on the economy, total emissions, and China's tradeembodied $CO₂$ emissions.

4.1 CO² Emissions Embodied in China's Trade

To derive trade-embodied carbon emissions, we multiply sector- and origin-specific carbon intensities (Ay_i) by China's export and import values. **Figure 2** presents CO_2 intensities by source for aggregated sectors and regions. The results reveal that sectoral carbon intensities in China are much higher than those in Europe, Japan, and the U.S., and are also higher than the global average.⁵ The results further show that domestic intermediate input emissions, which are mainly due to direct and indirect use of electricity, are the largest contributor to life-cycle embodied emissions, rather than direct emissions.

⁴ Defining a precise tax rate is not necessary here as we are focusing on providing a performance benchmark for a potential policy instrument rather than aiming to inform the choice of tax rates.

⁵ We convert among currencies using market exchange rates. If purchasing power parity exchange rates are used, carbon intensities in China are closer to the world average level but remain above those in the U.S., Europe, and Japan.

Figure 2. CO₂ intensity by sector and source, 2007.

CO² emissions embodied in China's imports and exports by region are displayed in Figure 3. The calculations show that China is a net exporter of embodied emissions to all regions in our analysis except Taiwan. This result is driven by China running a trade surplus with most regions and having CO2-intensive production relative to elsewhere. Europe, the U.S. and Japan are China's largest trade partners, and combined account for more than half of China's total net exports of embodied CO_2 . In aggregate China exports 1,722 mmt of embodied CO_2 and imports 545 mmt, resulting in net exports of 1,177 mmt. In comparison, $CO₂$ emissions in China in 2007 were 5,269 mmt, so production for export accounted for 32.7% of total emissions and net exports of $CO₂$ were equivalent to 22.3% of total emissions.

Figure 3. CO₂ emissions embodied in China's exports and imports by region, 2007

A comparison of carbon emissions embodied in China's trade by sector in 2007 is shown in **Figure 4.** Exports of Machinery and equipment (ome) and Electronic equipment (ele) together account for 34% of China's exports of embodied carbon, while the energy-intensive sectors combined account for a total of 30%. Textiles and apparel are also significant sources of embodied emissions. These findings reveal that energy-intensive sectors are not the primary source of China's embodied carbon exports, despite the fact that most policies in China target the energy-intensive sectors. Meanwhile, exports of mechanical and electronic equipment are encouraged in order to spur development of so-called "high tech" sectors.

Figure 4. CO₂ emissions embodied in China's exports and imports by sector, 2007.

To determine the drivers of sectoral embodied $CO₂$ emissions, we plot China's sectoral export value shares against sectoral life-cycle carbon intensities in **Figure 5**. We find that some of the least emissions-intensive sectors have a high value share, while some of the most emissionsintensive sectors are small contributors to China's total exports. As shown in Figure 5, electricity (ely) and gas (gas) production are the two most carbon intensive sectors in China but there is little exports of these goods. Energy-intensive sectors, such as non-metallic minerals (nmm) Fabricated metal products (fmp), and iron and steel (i_s) , have relatively high carbon intensities but their trade volumes are generally small, together accounting for only 20% of total exports. electronic equipment (ele) and machinery and equipment (ome) account for 22% and 18%, respectively, of total trade in value terms. Although the $CO₂$ intensities of these sectors are relatively low, significant exports shares result in these commodities accounting for large proportions of China's net exports of embodied $CO₂$.

Figure 5. China's sectoral export value shares and $CO₂$ intensities, 2007.

4.2 CGE Simulation Results

As noted in Section 3, we evaluate the impact of three scenarios on China's net exports of embodied CO₂ emissions. First, our Rebalance scenario uses endogenous output subsidies and taxes to increase the output of services and decrease the output of both agriculture and industry. Second, the Demand scenario, in addition to targeting the same output changes as in the Rebalance scenario, reduces China's trade surplus by 50% in order to simulate an increase in domestic demand. Finally, our Exp-Tax scenario increases taxes on China's energy-intensive exports (without any other policy shocks).

Figure 6. China's net exports in the reference and policy scenarios.

Figure 6 displays the value of China's net exports for aggregated sectors.⁶ In the Rebalance and Demand scenarios, taxes/subsidies to achieve sectoral targets increase the price of industry output by 20% and decrease that for services by 25%, compared to the reference case. As a result, in both scenarios, there are decreases in net exports of both energy-intensive products and other industry, and a large increase in exports of services, which transforms China from a netimporter to a net exporter of services. Comparing results for the two scenarios indicates that, as expected, increasing domestic demand reduces the net exports of all sectors.

As relative price changes due to the policy shocks induce changes in input choices, we reestimate our MRIO calculations of embodied emissions using production coefficients predicted by our CGE model under each policy scenario. China's net exports of embodied $CO₂$ for aggregate sectors and emissions by region are reported in **Table 2**. In the Rebalance scenario, consistent with changes in net exports by value, net exports of emissions embodied in industry decrease and emissions embodied in services increase, largely due to increased demand for electricity as this sector expands. On balance, there is only a small (4.2%) decrease in emissions embodied in China's net exports in the Rebalance scenario. The sectoral pattern of changes in embodied emissions in the Demand scenario is similar to that in the Rebalance scenario, but the decrease in net exports results in a much larger decrease in total net exports of emissions (22.1%).

 \overline{a}

 6 As we wish to focus on changes for energy-intensive industries, we report results separately for energy-intensive industries and other industry, even though the same tax rates are applied to all industrial sectors in the Rebalance and Demand scenarios.

Table 2. CO₂ emissions embodied in China's net exports and by emissions by region (mmt).

By examining $CO₂$ emissions by region (reported in the second panel of Table 2), we find that policies in China affect emissions in other regions through bilateral trade linkages. In the Rebalance and Demand scenarios, promoting services at the expense of manufacturing decreases China's domestic emissions by about 5%. However, the reduction of manufacturing exports from China induces other regions to expand production, so emissions in other regions increase. The largest increases in emissions are observed for Europe, Japan, and Korea, which combined account for about 30% of the increase in emissions elsewhere. There is also a large increase in trade between emerging Asian economies and developed countries, which increases emissions in this region. Specifically, exports from the rest of Asia (ROA, which includes Vietnam, Cambodia, and Laos) to Europe, Japan, and the U.S. increase by around 8%. In aggregate, reductions in CO₂ emissions in China translate into increased emissions elsewhere, in part because developed economies increase production of industrial commodities previously purchased from China and in part because other emerging economies produce more industrial goods for export to developed markets. The net effect is only a small reduction in global $CO₂$ emissions (225 mmt in both the Rebalance and Demand scenarios) due to the benefits of cleaner technology in advanced countries and a lower overall emissions intensity in developing countries that replace production in China (e.g., ROA has an emissions intensity of 1.27 kilograms of $CO₂$ per dollar compared to China's 1.77, with the discrepancy largely due to the lower reliance on coal in electricity production in ROA).

In the Exp-Tax scenario, increasing export taxes on energy-intensive products decreases exports of these commodities from \$211b to \$173b. The reduction in energy-intensive production reduces energy and factor prices. These price decreases reduce the production cost for other sectors, which result in these sectors becoming relatively more competitive in global markets and leads to an increase in exports of non-targeted sectors (except for agriculture).

Trade and production in the Exp-Tax scenario result in emissions embodied in energyintensive industry decreasing by 86 mmt. However, net exports of emissions increase for other sectors by 42 mmt, so the decrease in total net exports of embodied emissions is only 44 mmt, a 3.7% decrease. The decrease in emissions in China is also partially offset by an increase in emissions elsewhere (of 29 mmt). This is because reductions in exports of energy-intensive products from China induces increased production of these goods in other regions, while there is a small net decrease in total consumption of energy-intensive products. The largest increases in emissions are observed for Europe, the U.S. and Japan, and imports of energy-intensive commodities to China from developing countries increase. As in the rebalancing scenario, a reduction in the supply of Chinese-made energy-intensive goods to developed countries is partially compensated by production in advanced economies and in other regions that export to the advanced economies. In addition to changes in trade patterns, a small proportion of the increase in emissions outside of China is due to a fossil-fuel price effect. Under this mechanism, reduced demand for fossil fuels in China decreases global prices for fossil fuels and increase their use in other regions. Combined with the increase in emissions from non-targeted sectors in China, the increase in emissions elsewhere in the Exp-Tax scenario results in only a small reduction in global emissions.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

We analyzed carbon emissions embodied in China's trade in 2007 by conducting a MRIO analysis using the GTAP 8 database. Insights from the MRIO analysis helped to guide our investigation of the impact of two representative policies aimed at reducing $CO₂$ emissions through changes in China's economic structure using a multi-region, multi-sector static global general equilibrium model. As the world's largest exporting country, China's net exports of embodied carbon are greater than those of other regions. Large exports of embodied $CO₂$ emissions in China both threaten the domestic environment and also make China a major target for carbon tariff policies implemented overseas. China has become aware of its vulnerabilities, and has taken measures to address concerns surrounding energy and carbon emissions embodied in its trade through a range of policy approaches. This paper has provided insight into the factors influencing China's trade-embodied emissions. It has also attempted to evaluate the effect of two policies representative of measures included in China's Twelfth FYP—one focused on economic rebalancing, with and without an emphasis on stimulating domestic consumption, and the other focused on reducing incentives for China to export energy-intensive products.

In the MRIO analysis, we find that the $CO₂$ emissions embodied in China's net exports are 1,176 mmt, equivalent to 22% of its total emissions. Mechanical and electronic equipment

products are the major source CO_2 -embodied net exports (34%) rather than the energy intensive sectors (30%). Trade with Europe, Japan, and the U.S. account for more than half of China's total net exports of embodied carbon. The carbon intensities of production in China were found to be much higher than those in Europe, Japan, and the U.S. In China, relatively CO_2 -intensive production, particularly for electricity, and a trade surplus were found to be the main drivers of substantial net exports of embodied $CO₂$ from this country.

Although both measures have been advertised as $CO₂$ reduction policies, neither of the two policies we investigate has a significant impact on total global $CO₂$ emissions. The policy aimed at rebalancing China's economic structure altered China's trade patterns, from industry-based to service-based, but did not significantly influence China's trade-embodied $CO₂$ emissions, unless there was a decrease in China's trade surplus (in which case domestic emissions increased, offsetting reductions in trade-embodied emissions). Tariffs on energy intensive products were effective at reducing energy-intensive exports and China's embodied emissions, but only reduced its total export-embodied $CO₂$ emissions by a small amount, due to the offsetting effect caused by an increase in other production activities. A policy that targets the expansion of domestic demand is observed to be more effective at reducing China's export-embodied $CO₂$ emissions, although it does not explicitly take into account shifts in consumption patterns that may occur as household incomes increase. In both scenarios, we find evidence that policy-induced decreases in industrial products supplied by China would be partially offset by relocation of production to the advanced economies, where the products are consumed, and by increased production in other trade partners. As a result, climate policies implemented in China would indirectly lead to increases in emissions in other regions. Globally, there was a small decrease in $CO₂$ emissions, as regions with less $CO₂$ -intensive production produce energy-intensive products previously made in China. But this effect is very weak and hard to predict, as it is the combined result of global production redistribution and is influenced by estimates of technology costs and the economic structure characterizing each region.

Estimates of embodied carbon emissions are sensitive to trade values and patterns. Given the limited availability and long lead times that precede the release of global input-output data set, we conduct our research based on 2007 data. However, with the impact of global economic slowdown starting in 2008, China's trade surplus has shrunk from 261.8 billion dollars in 2007 to 155.1 billion dollars in 2011 (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2011; National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2012). Meanwhile, if the current expansion of China's domestic demand continues, it is predicted that China may rank as top global importer within a few years (Xinhua, 2012a). If this occurs, China's trade surplus would be further reduced relative to that in our database. Concerns about trade-embodied carbon emissions could be mitigated or replaced by concerns about the energy and $CO₂$ intensity of China's domestic consumption. Furthermore, the impact of the policies discussed in this paper on $CO₂$ emissions is limited, in part because these policies do not address the potential for displacing emissions from targeted industries to other sectors. A carbon tax or comprehensive nation-wide cap-and-trade regime may be more effective in this regard. China will start emissions trading systems in seven pilot provinces in 2013 and

aims to extend the market to the entire country in 2015 (China Securities Journal, 2012). Implementation of a carbon tax is also being considered in China (Economic Information Daily, 2012). These policies present a more economically efficient way to constrain energy consumption and emissions from all sectors, although they will not prevent leakage to other regions.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support of the National Social Science Foundation of China (Project No. Project No. 09&ZD029) and the Institute for Energy, Environment, and Economy at Tsinghua University, which is supporting Tianyu Qi's doctoral research as a visiting scholar at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. We further acknowledge the support of Eni S.p.A., ICF International, and Shell International Ltd., initial sponsors of the China Energy and Climate Project in the MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change at MIT. None of the sponsoring organizations played a role in the study design, collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data used for this study, nor did they influence our decisions to submit the article for publication, and all errors are our own. We also acknowledge general industrial and government sponsors of the Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change (http://globalchange.mit.edu/sponsors/all).

6. REFERENCES

- Armington, P. S., 1969: A theory of demand for products distinguished by place of production. *IMF Staff Papers*, 16: 159–76.
- Böhringer, C., J. C. Carbone and T. F. Rutherford, 2011: Embodied carbon tariffs. *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 17376*. August.
- BP, 2012: Statistical review of world energy 2011. (http://www.bp.com/sectionbodycopy.do?categoryId=7500&contentId=7068481).
- Chen, Z. M. and G. Q. Chen, 2011: Embodied carbon dioxide emission at supra-national scale: A coalition analysis for G7, BRIC, and the rest of the world. *Energy Policy*, 39(5): 2899–2909.
- China Securities Journal, 2012: Carbon trade pilots start in seven provinces of China. (http://finance.Chinanews.com/ny/2012/06–05/3938882.shtml).
- Copeland, B. R. and M. S. Taylor, 1994: North-south trade and the environment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 109(3): 755–787.
- Copeland, B. R. and M. S. Taylor, 1995: Trade and transboundary pollution. *American Economic Review*, 85(4): 716–737.
- Davis, S. J. and K. Caldeira, 2010: Consumption-based accounting of CO2 emissions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107(12): 5687–5692.
- Economic Information Daily, 2012: Carbon tax is underway in China in 2012. (http://www.China5e.com/show.php?contentid=97433).
- Edens, B., R. Delahaye, M. van Rossum and S. Schenau, 2011: Analysis of changes in dutch emission trade balance(s) between 1996 and 2007. *Ecological Economics*, 70(12): 2334– 2340.
- Gavrilova, O. and R. Vilu, 2012: Production-based and consumption-based national greenhouse gas inventories: An implication for Estonia. *Ecological Economics*, 75: 161–173.
- Goldar, A., J. Bhanot, and K. Shimpo, 2011: Prioritizing towards a green export portfolio for India: An environmental input-output approach. *Energy Policy*, 39(11): 7036–7048.
- Guo, J., Z. Zhang, and L. Meng, 2012: China's provincial CO2 emissions embodied in international and interprovincial trade. *Energy Policy*, 42: 486–497.
- Industrial Energy Efficiency Database, 2012: CN-2: Energy and carbon intensity targets of the 12th five year plan. (http://iepd.iipnetwork.org/policy/energy-and-carbon-intensity-targets-12th-five-year-plan).
- International Energy Agency, 2011: CO2 emissions from fuel combustion 2011 Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Li, C. and J. Whalley, 2012: Rebalancing and the Chinese VAT: Some numerical simulation results. *China Economic Review*, 23(2): 316–324.
- Liu, L. and X. Ma, 2011: CO2 embodied in China's foreign trade 2007 with discussion for global climate policy. *International workshop from the International Congress on Environmental Modeling and Software*, 5: 105–113.
- Mathiesen, L., 1985: Computation of economic equilibria by a sequence of linear complementarity-problems. *Mathematical Programming Study*, 23(1985): 144–162.
- Munoz, P. and K. W. Steininger, 2010: Austria's CO2 responsibility and the carbon content of its international trade. *Ecological Economics*, 69(10): 2003–2019.
- Muradian, R., M. O'Connor and J. Martinez-Alier, 2002: Embodied pollution in trade: Estimating the 'environmental load displacement' of industrialized countries. *Ecological Economics*, 41(1): 51–67.
- Narayanan, B., D. Betina and M. Robert, 2012: GTAP data bases: GTAP 8 data base documentation. (https://www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu/databases/v8/v8_doco.asp).
- National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2011: *China statistical yearbook 2011*, Beijing, 2011.
- National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2012: 2011 statistics bulletin of the national economic and social development of the people's republic of China. [\(http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjgb/ndtjgb/qgndtjgb/t20120222_402786440.htm\)](http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjgb/ndtjgb/qgndtjgb/t20120222_402786440.htm).
- Natural Resource Defense Council, 2012: From Copenhagen accord to climate action: Tracking national commitments to curb global warming. [\(http://www.nrdc.org/international/copenhagenaccords/\)](http://www.nrdc.org/international/copenhagenaccords/).
- Peters, G. P. and E. G. Hertwich, 2008: CO2 embodied in international trade with implications for global climate policy. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(5): 1401–1407.
- Peters, G. P., C. L. Weber, D. Guan and K. Hubacek, 2007: China's growing CO(2) emissions A race between increasing consumption and efficiency gains. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41(17): 5939–5944.
- Reuters, 2012: The history of China's tax rebate policy adjustment. (http://cn.reuters.com/article/ChinaNews/idCNCHINA-2515620100622).
- Rutherford, T. F., 1995: Extension of GAMS for complementarity problems arising in applied economic analysis. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 19(8): 1299–1324.
- Rutherford, T. F., 1999: Applied general equilibrium modeling with MPSGE as a GAMS subsystem: An overview of the modeling framework and syntax. *Computational Economics*, $14(1-2): 1-46.$
- Rutherford. T.F. and S. Paltsev, 2000: GTAP-energy in GAMS: The data set and static model. *Economics Discussion Paper 00-02*, University of Colorado, Boulder.
- Shui, B. and R. C. Harriss, 2006: The role of CO2 embodiment in US-China trade. *Energy Policy*, 34(18): 4063–4068.
- Skelton, A., D. Guan, G. P. Peters and D. Crawford-Brown, 2011: Mapping flows of embodied emissions in the global production system. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45(24): 10516–10523.
- The State Council of China, 2011: "Twelfth five" comprehensive energy-saving reduction program of work notice of China. (http://www.gov.cn/zwgk/2011- 09/07/content_1941731.htm).
- The World Bank, 2012: China 2030: Building a modern, harmonious, and creative high-income society. (http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/2012/02/27/China-2030-executive-summary).
- Weber, C. L. and H. S. Matthews, 2007: Embodied environmental emissions in US international trade, 1997–2004. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41(14): 4875–4881.
- Wiedmann, T., 2009: A review of recent multi-region input-output models used for consumption-based emission and resource accounting. *Ecological Economics*, 69(2): 211– 222.
- Wiedmann, T., M. Lenzen, K. Turner and J. Barrett, 2007: Examining the global environmental impact of regional consumption activities — part 2: Review of input–output models for the assessment of environmental impacts embodied in trade. *Ecological Economics*, 61(1): 15– 26.
- Xie, Z., 2012: Zhenhua Xie: The situation and challenge of energy conservation in the twelfth five-year period. (http://finance.sina.com.cn/China/20120206/151411321816.shtml).
- Xinhua, 2010: The tertiary industry is planned to surpass the second industry within 10 years in China. (http://www.ah.xinhua.org/2010hsdh/2010-06/21/content_20121698.htm).
- Xinhua, 2012a: China to be world's biggest importer soon: Commerce minister Xinhua english.news.cn. (http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/business/2012- 03/18/c_131474352.htm).
- Xinhua, 2012b: The twelfth five-year plan for national economic and social development of the People's Republic China. [\(http://news.xinhuanet.com/politics/2011-](http://news.xinhuanet.com/politics/2011-03/16/c_121193916_3.htm) 03/16/c 121193916 3.htm).
- Xu, M., R. Li, J.C. Crittenden and Y. Chen, 2011: CO2 emissions embodied in China's exports from 2002 to 2008: A structural decomposition analysis. *Energy Policy*, 39(11): 7381–7388.
- Yan, Y.F. and L.K. Yang, 2010: China's foreign trade and climate change: A case study of CO2 emissions. *Energy Policy*, 38(1): 350–356.

FOR THE COMPLETE LIST OF JOINT PROGRAM REPORTS: http://globalchange.mit.edu/pubs/all-reports.php

- **189. Modeling the Global Water Resource System in an Integrated Assessment Modeling Framework:** *IGSM-WRS Strzepek et al.* September 2010
- **190. Climatology and Trends in the Forcing of the Stratospheric Zonal-Mean Flow** *Monier and Weare* January 2011
- **191. Climatology and Trends in the Forcing of the Stratospheric Ozone Transport** *Monier and Weare* January 2011
- **192. The Impact of Border Carbon Adjustments under Alternative Producer Responses** *Winchester* February 2011
- **193. What to Expect from Sectoral Trading:** *A U.S.-China Example Gavard et al.* February 2011
- **194. General Equilibrium, Electricity Generation Technologies and the Cost of Carbon** *Abatement Lanz and Rausch* February 2011
- **195. A Method for Calculating Reference Evapotranspiration on Daily Time Scales** *Farmer et al.* February 2011
- **196. Health Damages from Air Pollution in China** *Matus et al.* March 2011
- **197. The Prospects for Coal-to-Liquid Conversion:** *A General Equilibrium Analysis Chen et al.* May 2011
- **198. The Impact of Climate Policy on U.S. Aviation** *Winchester et al.* May 2011
- **199. Future Yield Growth:** *What Evidence from Historical Data Gitiaux et al.* May 2011
- **200. A Strategy for a Global Observing System for Verification of National Greenhouse Gas Emissions** *Prinn et al.* June 2011
- **201. Russia's Natural Gas Export Potential up to 2050** *Paltsev* July 2011
- **202. Distributional Impacts of Carbon Pricing:** *A General Equilibrium Approach with Micro-Data for Households Rausch et al.* July 2011
- **203. Global Aerosol Health Impacts:** *Quantifying Uncertainties Selin et al.* August 201
- **204. Implementation of a Cloud Radiative Adjustment Method to Change the Climate Sensitivity of CAM3** *Sokolov and Monier* September 2011
- **205. Quantifying the Likelihood of Regional Climate Change:** *A Hybridized Approach Schlosser et al.* October 2011
- **206. Process Modeling of Global Soil Nitrous Oxide Emissions** *Saikawa et al.* October 2011
- **207. The Influence of Shale Gas on U.S. Energy and Environmental Policy** *Jacoby et al.* November 2011
- **208. Influence of Air Quality Model Resolution on Uncertainty Associated with Health Impacts** *Thompson and Selin* December 2011
- **209. Characterization of Wind Power Resource in the United States and its Intermittency** *Gunturu and Schlosser* December 2011
- **210. Potential Direct and Indirect Effects of Global Cellulosic Biofuel Production on Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Future Land-use Change** *Kicklighter et al.* March 2012
- **211. Emissions Pricing to Stabilize Global Climate** *Bosetti et al.* March 2012
- **212. Effects of Nitrogen Limitation on Hydrological Processes in CLM4-CN** *Lee & Felzer* March 2012
- **213. City-Size Distribution as a Function of Socio-economic Conditions:** *An Eclectic Approach to Down-scaling Global Population Nam & Reilly* March 2012
- **214. CliCrop: a Crop Water-Stress and Irrigation Demand Model for an Integrated Global Assessment Modeling Approach** *Fant et al.* April 2012
- **215. The Role of China in Mitigating Climate Change** *Paltsev et al.* April 2012
- **216. Applying Engineering and Fleet Detail to Represent Passenger Vehicle Transport in a Computable General Equilibrium Model** *Karplus et al.* April 2012
- **217. Combining a New Vehicle Fuel Economy Standard with a Cap-and-Trade Policy:** *Energy and Economic Impact in the United States Karplus et al.* April 2012
- **218. Permafrost, Lakes, and Climate-Warming Methane Feedback:** *What is the Worst We Can Expect? Gao et al.* May 2012
- **219. Valuing Climate Impacts in Integrated Assessment Models:** *The MIT IGSM Reilly et al.* May 2012
- **220. Leakage from Sub-national Climate Initiatives:** *The Case of California Caron et al.* May 2012
- **221. Green Growth and the Efficient Use of Natural Resources** *Reilly* June 2012
- **222. Modeling Water Withdrawal and Consumption for Electricity Generation in the United States** *Strzepek et al.* June 2012
- **223. An Integrated Assessment Framework for Uncertainty Studies in Global and Regional Climate Change:** *The MIT IGSM Monier et al.* June 2012
- **224. Cap-and-Trade Climate Policies with Price-Regulated Industries:** *How Costly are Free Allowances? Lanz and Rausch* July 2012.
- **225. Distributional and Efficiency Impacts of Clean and Renewable Energy Standards for Electricity** *Rausch and Mowers* July 2012.
- **226. The Economic, Energy, and GHG Emissions Impacts of Proposed 2017–2025 Vehicle Fuel Economy Standards in the United States** *Karplus and Paltsev* July 2012
- **227. Impacts of Land-Use and Biofuels Policy on Climate:** *Temperature and Localized Impacts Hallgren et al.* August 2012
- **228. Carbon Tax Revenue and the Budget Deficit:** *A Win-Win-Win Solution? Sebastian Rausch and John Reilly* August 2012
- **229. CLM-AG: An Agriculture Module for the Community Land Model version 3.5** *Gueneau et al.* September 2012
- **230. Quantifying Regional Economic Impacts of CO2 Intensity Targets in China** *Zhang et al.* September 2012
- **231. The Future Energy and GHG Emissions Impact of Alternative Personal Transportation Pathways in China** *Kishimoto et al.* September 2012
- **232. Will Economic Restructuring in China Reduce Trade-Embodied CO2 Emissions?** *Qi et al.* October 2012